

Software System Design and Implementation

Curry Howard Correspondence (Curry Howard Isomorphism)

The University of New South Wales
School of Computer Science and Engineering
Sydney, Australia

Let's go back in time

- Different, equivalent models of computation to address Hilbert's Entscheidungsproblem
 - Lambda-calculus (Church)
 - Recursive functions (Gödel)
 - Turing machine

The (untyped) lambda calculus

- Functions can be applied to themselves:

$$\lambda f. f f$$

- As a result, we can have non-terminating reduction sequences:

$$(\lambda f. f f) (\lambda f. f f)$$
$$\longrightarrow \beta$$
$$(\lambda f. f f) (\lambda f. f f)$$
$$\longrightarrow \beta$$
$$(\lambda f. f f) (\lambda f. f f)$$
$$\dots$$

Church's Simply Typed Lambda Calculus

- For the presentation, we add the following functions & data constructors to the lambda calculus as short hand
 - `(,)`: like the pair data constructor in Haskell
 - `fst, snd`: like Haskell `fst` and `snd`
 - `left, right`: like `Left` and `Right` of the `Either` type
 - `case`: similar to `case` in Haskell, but restricted to `Either` type

```
case x f g ≈ case x of
  Left a  -> f a
  Right b -> g b
```

Church's Simply Typed Lambda Calculus

- Can be encoded in the lambda-calculus

$$(\ , \) = \lambda a. \lambda b. \lambda f. f\ a\ b$$
$$\text{fst} = \lambda a. \lambda b. a$$
$$\text{snd} = \lambda a. \lambda b. b$$
$$\text{Right} = \lambda a. \lambda f. \lambda g. f\ a$$
$$\text{Left} = \lambda a. \lambda f. \lambda g. g\ a$$
$$\text{case} = \lambda a. \lambda f. \lambda g. a\ f\ g$$

Church's Simply Typed Lambda Calculus

$$\frac{M :: A \quad N :: B}{(M, N) :: A * B}$$

read as:
if you can derive
 $M :: A$ and $N :: B$
then
 $(M, N) :: A * B$
is derivable

$$\frac{M :: A * B}{\text{fst } M :: A}$$

$$\frac{M :: A * B}{\text{snd } M :: B}$$

Church's Simply Typed Lambda Calculus

$$\frac{M :: A}{\text{left } M :: A + B}$$

$$\frac{M :: B}{\text{right } M :: A + B}$$

$$\frac{M :: A + B \quad K :: A \rightarrow C \quad H :: B \rightarrow C}{\text{case } M \text{ K H} :: C}$$

Church's Simply Typed Lambda Calculus

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [x :: A] \\ \vdots \\ M :: B \end{array}}{\lambda x. M :: A \rightarrow B}$$

read as:
if we can derive $M :: B$
from the assumption $x :: A$
then
 $\lambda x. M :: A \rightarrow B$
is derivable

$$\frac{\lambda x. M :: A \rightarrow B \quad N :: A}{(\lambda x. M) N :: B}$$

Church's Simply Typed Lambda Calculus

- The simply typed lambda calculus doesn't have general recursion:

$\lambda f. f f$ can't be typed!

- For all well-typed terms
 - reduction terminates
 - reduction does not change the type of a term
- Note: the Y-combinator can be added to make it turing-complete again:

$$Y = \lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))$$

$$Y f = f (Y f)$$

$$Y :: (A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A$$

Natural Deduction

- At around the same time, Gerhard Gentzen was working on the logic aspects of the Hilbert program: establishing the consistency of various logics
- Gentzen introduced two new formulations of logic, which remain the main ones used to this day:
 - Sequent calculus
 - Natural deduction

Natural Deduction

- Rules come in pairs: introduction and elimination

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B} \wedge\text{-I}$$

$$\frac{A \wedge B}{A} \wedge\text{-E1}$$

$$\frac{A \wedge B}{B} \wedge\text{-E2}$$

$$\frac{A \wedge B}{B \wedge A}$$

$$\wedge\text{-E2} \frac{A \wedge B}{B} \quad \frac{A \wedge B}{A} \wedge\text{-E1}$$
$$\frac{B \quad A}{B \wedge A} \wedge\text{-I}$$

Natural Deduction

- \vee -introduction and elimination

$$\frac{A}{A \vee B} \vee\text{-I1}$$

$$\frac{B}{A \vee B} \vee\text{-I2}$$

$$\frac{A \vee B \quad A \Rightarrow C \quad B \Rightarrow C}{C} \vee\text{-E}$$

Natural Deduction

- Implication

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [A] \\ \vdots \\ B \end{array}}{A \Rightarrow B} \Rightarrow\text{-I}$$

$$\frac{A \Rightarrow B \quad A}{B} \Rightarrow\text{-E}$$

Proof normalisation

- Gentzen observed that all proofs for propositional logic can be normalised, so they only contain sub formulas of premise or conclusion:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \wedge\text{-E2} \quad \frac{A \wedge B}{B} \quad \frac{A \wedge B}{A} \quad \wedge\text{-E1} \\
 \hline
 \frac{B \quad A}{B \wedge A} \quad \wedge\text{-I}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{A \wedge B}{A} \quad \frac{A \wedge B}{A} \\
 \hline
 \frac{A \wedge B}{B} \quad \frac{A \wedge A}{A} \\
 \hline
 B \wedge A
 \end{array}$$

Curry Howard Isomorphism

- In 1934, Curry observed a relationship between logic implication $A \Rightarrow B$ and function types $A \rightarrow B$
- Howard realised in 1969 that this connection is much deeper

Curry Howard Isomorphism

$$\frac{M :: A \quad N :: B}{(M, N) :: A * B}$$

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B}$$

$$\frac{M :: A * B}{\text{fst } M :: A}$$

$$\frac{A \wedge B}{A}$$

$$\frac{M :: A * B}{\text{snd } M :: B}$$

$$\frac{A \wedge B}{B}$$

$$\frac{M :: A}{\text{left } M :: A + B}$$

$$\frac{M :: B}{\text{right } M :: A + B}$$

$$\frac{A}{A \vee B}$$

$$\frac{B}{A \vee B}$$

$$\frac{M :: A + B \quad K :: A \rightarrow C \quad H :: B \rightarrow C}{\text{case } M \ K \ H :: C}$$

$$\frac{A \vee B \quad A \Rightarrow C \quad B \Rightarrow C}{C}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [x :: A] \\ \vdots \\ M :: B \end{array}}{\lambda x. M :: A \rightarrow B}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [A] \\ \vdots \\ B \end{array}}{A \Rightarrow B} \Rightarrow \text{I}$$

$$\frac{\lambda x. M :: A \rightarrow B \quad N :: A}{(\lambda x. M) N :: B}$$

$$\frac{A \Rightarrow B \quad A}{B} \Rightarrow \text{E}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \wedge & B \\ \hline & & B \\ \hline & & B & \wedge & A \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \wedge & B \\ \hline & & A \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\frac{x :: A * B}{\text{snd } x :: B} \quad \frac{x :: A * B}{\text{fst } x :: A}}{(\text{snd } x, \text{fst } x) :: B * A}$$

- Proof normalisation corresponds to evaluation!

$$\frac{\frac{A * B}{B} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{A * B}{fst\ x :: A} \quad \frac{A * B}{fst\ x :: A}}{(fst\ x, fst\ x) :: A * A}}{snd(fst\ x, fst\ x) :: A}}{(snd\ x, snd(fst\ x, fst\ x)) :: B * A}$$

$$(snd\ x, fst\ x)$$

Curry Howard Isomorphism

- Howard proposed extension for for-all and existentially quantified types (now known as dependent types) to predicate logic
 - de Bruijn's Automath
 - Martin-Löf's type theory (Agda, Idris)
 - PRL, nuPRL
 - Coquant and Huet's calculus of constructions (Coq proof assistant)

Curry Howard Isomorphism

- In short, it is the observation that
 - propositions can be viewed as types
 - programs as their (constructive) proof
 - proof normalisation as program evaluation

Curry Howard Isomorphism

- The pattern of logicians/computer scientist discovering the same system independently has repeated since then multiple times:
 - Second order lambda calculus (Jean-Yves Girard, John Reynolds), basis for Java, C#
 - Principal type inference, by Roger Hindley and Robin Milner (e.g., Haskell)
 - Existential quantification in second order logic as basis for abstraction (John Mitchell, Gordon Plotkin)
 - Girard's linear logic, linear types
 - ...?